EXHIBIT 4

```
1
2
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
3
    FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION,
4
                               PLAINTIFF,
5
6
               -aqainst-
                               Case No.:
                               16-cv-1054
7
8
    FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY and
    ACE AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,
                               DEFENDANTS.
10
11
12
                            February 26, 2019
                    DATE:
13
                    TIME:
                            10:06 A.M.
14
15
16
               DEPOSITION of a Non-Party
17
    Witness, LAWRENCE WACHS, taken by the
18
    respective parties, pursuant to a
19
    Subpoena and to the Federal Rules of
20
    Civil Procedure, held at the offices of
21
    Merchant & Gould, P.C., 767 3rd Avenue,
22
    23rd Floor, New York, New York 10017,
23
    before Jennifer Schwartz, a Notary
24
    Public of the State of New York.
25
```

1 Unless you have something there, I time you were asked to look into the can't remember that. Chubb license agreement in connection with Chubb's request to have access to Q. Did you review documents from 2008 in preparation for the deposition Blaze in Europe? 6 A. Conceivably, but I don't today, if you recall? A. I believe so. If they were part remember the specifics of that conversation. I believe there's an of discovery, I saw them. 9 9 Q. Do you have a recollection of e-mail to that effect though. 10 Mike Sawyer or Russ Schreiber Q. Okay. So do you recall that you 10 approaching you to discuss the Chubb 11 actually concluded that the ELA that license in late 2008? 12 was negotiated with Chubb was a global 13 A. Not specifically, no. 13 ELA? 14 14 Q. I'm showing you what's been A. From the wording here, I cannot 15 marked as deposition Exhibit 73. This 15 conclude -- make that conclusion. is an appointment from Mike Sawyer to 16 Q. So I've handed you -- before you Ian Brody, Richard Hill, and Russ 17 go into the e-mail, I was asking the 18 Schreiber, correct? 18 question whether you recalled 19 concluding that it was a global ELA. I A. That's correct. 19 20 Q. Do you know who lan Brody and take it you don't have a recollection 21 Richard Hill are? of that? 22 22 A. No, I do not. A. I do not have a recollection of 23 Q. And in the note to the 23 that. appointment, it says, "All please join 24 Q. So I've handed you what's been this call to discuss the Chubb license marked as Exhibit 116. Is this one of Page 168 Page 170 1 the documents you reviewed to prepare agreement and plan -- and the plan for Chubb Europe. Attached are the three for your deposition? SLSA contracts and the latest Chubb 4 A. Yes. Q. This is an e-mail from you to annual report," correct? A. Correct. Russ Schreiber dated November 26th, 7 2008, correct? Q. Was it your understanding at this point that Chubb had approached 8 A. That's right. 9 FICO about using Blaze in Europe? Q. So this is about a 10 MR. HINDERAKER: Objection, week-and-a-half after -- or two -- a 11 lack of foundation. little less than two weeks after the 12 12 A. I was not an invitee at this appointment planner that we looked at 13 meeting and I can't say that I remember 13 which was marked Exhibit 73, correct? 14 the specifics of the meeting. A. Right. 15 15 Q. I'll give you a chance to review Q. Okay. And I'm just using this date to ask you whether -- as someone the e-mail, let me know when you've 16 17 who was involved with the Chubb 17 done that. 18 account, whether you have a A. Yeah, I reviewed the document. 19 recollection of Chubb discussing... Q. Having reviewed the document, do 20 20 A. No. I do not. you recall what led you to writing this 21 21 e-mail? Q. You know, having access to Blaze 22 in Europe pursuant to enterprise A. It appears that it was a request license agreement? 23 by Russ Schreiber for my views on the

Page 169

24

25

A. No, I do not. No recollection.

Q. Do you recall that around this

Page 171

status of the ELA and whether it did

include a global provision or not.

1 2 Q. And do you recall actually MR. HINDERAKER: We'll rely having a conversation with Russ 3 on the testimony on the record. Q. And then you say, "In my Schreiber on that topic? 4 recollection, they -- " meaning Chubb A. No, I don't have a recollection -- "were adamant about keeping global 6 of that. on the table," correct? Q. You state that in reviewing your notes and some archived e-mails, "it's A. That's right. 9 Q. But they did take COBOL's smart apparent to me that the corporate ELA that was negotiated with Phil Folz and 10 forms off the table to wait for 11 11 projects requiring that functionality, June Drewry intended to include the 12 correct? global license, correct? 13 A. That is what I stated. 13 A. That's what I said, yes. 14 14 Q. So you concluded after reviewing Q. Okay. Now, did you -- do you recall talking with Russ Schreiber archived e-mails and your notes that 15 the ELA was a global license? 16 after you sent this e-mail? 17 17 A. That, I don't agree with A. No, I do not. 18 18 necessarily. It says that it's Q. Did you recall talking with 19 apparent that it intended to include anyone else at FICO relating to your 19 the global license but I can't tell you conclusion that's set forth in the 21 21 specifically that it did. e-mail marked as 116? 22 22 Q. Okay. What is the distinction A. No, I do not. 23 between what you're saying and what I 23 Q. Do you know whether, in fact, 24 24 after November of 2008 FICO assisted said? 25 A. Well, the way I worded it, it's Chubb in implementing the Blaze Advisor Page 172 Page 174 1 apparent that it intended to include a software in Europe? 3 global license. The question is in the MR. HINDERAKER: Objection, 4 final what was actually paid by Chubb 4 lack of foundation. 5 would indicate that there's a A. I don't believe that that was 6 difference of about \$100,000 and I the way I read the e-mail from the don't see the wording, for example, invitation of Mike Sawyer when he 8 change from a definition of territory, states that to -- license agreement and so there's some evidence that it did a plan for Chubb Europe, he's talking 10 not include -- that it was never 10 about a sales opportunity. 11 finally accepted as global but I don't 11 Q. So you don't know whether FICO have the e-mail or any thread from Phil 12 interpreted the enterprise license 13 -- from Mark Laden to indicate what was 13 agreement going forward as including 14 14 finally agreed on at that private global access or not, you just don't 15 know? meeting that he attended, so I can't draw that conclusion. It's apparent 16 A. I don't know. 17 that they wanted global but I don't Q. What notes are you referring to 18 know if it ever came to fruition. 18 in your e-mail marked as 116? 19 Q. So you just don't know -- but A. It would be the notes that --20 20 you believe that it was intended to the e-mails that you produced here 21 include --21 clearly, notes would have been perhaps 22 A. That's correct. notebooks of -- as I attended meetings,

Page 173

23

25

Q. -- the ELA was intended to

include the global license?

A. That is what I said.

²⁴ but --

23

25

Page 175

I may have taken notes at the meeting

Q. So handwritten notes that you

1 may have? A. I have -- I just don't know. I don't remember. A. Handwritten notes, yes. Q. Okay. And do you know where Q. There is an e-mail in the those handwritten notes are today? record, I'm not even going to mark it, that's dated June 1, 2009, that's to 6 A. Thrown away years ago. Q. Was that something that you took you, I don't see a response, I'm with you when you left FICO? assuming that was just sent to your --9 9 A. The notebooks, yes. A. Yep. 10 Q. And do you know for a fact that 10 Q. Based on your testimony, it was 11 11 sent to your e-mail account after you you don't have those anymore? 12 12 had left? A. Yeah. We had a super storm, Sandy, and most of those papers were in 13 A. I'm sure it was. 14 the basement and no longer available. 14 (Whereupon, e-mail was 15 15 Q. Do you think that Mark Laden marked as Defendants' Exhibit 337 ¹⁶ after having the meeting in December of 16 for identification as of this 17 2008 with Chubb would have sent a date by the Reporter.) 18 Q. I'm showing you what's been summary e-mail to the team that was working on the deal describing what 19 marked as Exhibit 337. I am showing took place? this to you to ask you to explain what 21 21 it is, there's no date. I have a guess MR. HINDERAKER: Objection, 22 asks for speculation. but I just -- I don't know, so after 23 you've had a chance to take a look at A. I have no insight into that at 23 24 24 it -all. 25 25 A. Sure. Q. Do you recall any other Page 176 Page 178 1 Q. -- let me know. involvement that you had with Chubb 3 after writing this November 26th, 2008, A. This is -- I guess, typical in software installations, this is the e-mail? 5 first communication that would flow A. Not at all. from the software provider, Fair Isaac, Q. We talked about the fact that you left either in 2008 or in 2009, FICO, to the client and it tells the client what his software installation having gone through the e-mails we've looked at today, do you have any better procedure is and what process he has to recollection of when you think you left follow in order to install the software 11 FICO? 11 on the client's service. 12 12 A. It was no later than the first Q. So this would actually -- okay, 13 so the software is not being sent via month or two of 2009. 13 14 Q. And you don't recall having any this e-mail, it's saying you can go get the software at a download site? other dealings with Chubb or questions about the license agreement after this 16 A. It's either downloaded or it's 17 17 e-mail marked as 116? provided by a media -- by CD at the 18 time. What's misleading here is that A. No, I do not. Mike Sawyer was 19 Jim Black did not send this -then the client partner, handled most 20 of the interaction with Chubb. Q. Right. 21 21 Q. Do you -- I think this is A. -- it's to Jim Black from the 22 delivery team at FICO to Mr. Black. encompassed in what I've asked but to make it clear, do you recall if Russ 23 Q. Copied to you? Schreiber responded by e-mail to this 24 A. And copied to me, yes.

Page 177

25

Q. So the first page of -- what's

e-mail which is marked as 116?

Page 179